

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, DOC 20201 WWW.depte.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 246,918	02 09 1999	KARL M. ROBINSON	303.455US2	6845
75	90 06 18 2002			
SCHWEGMAN LUNDBERG WOESSNER & KLUTH PO BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER	
			FOURSON III, GEORGE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2022	

DATE MAILED: 06-18-2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/246,918	ROBINSON, KARL M.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		George Fourson	2823			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wil	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply						
THE I Exter after If the If NO Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirth will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	March 2002 .				
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
3)	,		ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
-	ion of Claims					
	4) Claim(s) 2-6,10-18,29-38,50-52,76 and 77 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
, —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6,10-18,29-33,37,38,50-52,76 and 77</u> is/are rejected.					
•	7) Claim(s) <u>34-36</u> is/are objected to.					
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirement.				
· · ·	•	r				
,	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *				
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4</u>	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/246,918

Art Unit: 2823

Newly filed claims 53 and 54 have been renumbered as claims 76 and 77 under 37 CFR 1.126.

Claims 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for "first metal layer" in claim 51.

Claim 52 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 50 requires the "conductive layer" to comprise polysilicon.

Claims 50,51,52,76 and 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no description in the specification as originally filed of forming a polysilicon layer over the capacitor dielectric. There is only see support for metal capacitor electrodes. There is no description in the specification as originally filed of forming the lower capacitor plate over an electrically isolated polysilicon structure. Applicant points to figures 1-4 for support. However, in those figures polysilicon layer 25 electrically contacts doped regions of the substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/246,918

Art Unit: 2823

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 2-6,10,11,12-16,29-33,37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaulier.

Gaulier is applied as stated to be applied in the rejection of claims 12-16,29-33,37 and 38 in the paper mailed 12/17/01. Also, the reference discloses that substrate 1 contains active and passive components and that other metals are used for capacitor plates that are anodized to form the capacitor dielectric (col.1, lines 20+).

Applicant does not address the taking of official notice taken in the statement of the rejection therein. Formation of the lower capacitor electrode on a patterned polysilicon layer is addressed in the rejection as stated in the office action mailed 12/17/01.

The examiner takes official notice that anodization of metals as recited in claim 5 to produce an oxide layer on the metal was known prior to applicant's invention. It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the known processes with that of Gaulier to enable formation of the lower capacitor plate and capacitor dielectric of Gaulier.

Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaulier as applied to claims 2-4,6,10,11,12-16,29-33,37 and 38 above, and further in view of Dickey et al.

Dickey et al is applied as stated in the office action mailed 12/17/01 as providing suggestion to adjust the anodization current during the process.

Application/Control Number: 09/246,918

Art Unit: 2823

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner George Fourson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2544. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax number for this group is (703)308-7722 (or extensions 7724, 3431 or 3432) for regular communications and (703)308-7282 for after final communications.

George/Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

GFourson June 16, 2002